UDC (UDK): 633.511

Adeel AHMAD, Jehanzeb FAROOQ, Waqas Shafqat CHATTHA, Muhammad NAVEED-UL-HAQ1¹

ASSOCIATION OF QUALITATIVE AND YIELD CONTRIBUTING TRAITS IN UPLAND COTTON

SUMMARY

Correlation of the qualitative traits like flower shape, boll colour and boll shape was analysed with the yield and fibre quality traits. Ten varieties were crossed. Parents along with hybrids were sown in field in the research area of Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. Studies revealed that tubular flower shape showed negative association with green boll colour and ovate boll shape. Green boll colour had negative correlation with ovate boll shape. The qualitative traits like flower shape, boll shape and boll colour also act as markers for selection of polygenic traits like fibre quality and boll characteristics. Dark green boll colour showed positive association with plant height, number of bolls per plant, boll weight, number of locales and fibre strength. Green boll colour negatively correlated with tubular flower shape. Round boll shape had positive correlation with number of sympodial branches and boll weight. Ovate boll shape had negative association with fibre fineness and fibre strength. Tubular flower shape had negative association with plant height, number of sympodial branches, number of monopodial branches, number of bolls per plant, boll weight, and number of locules, GOT%, fibre fineness and fibre strength. Bell shape of flower had positive association with staple length. The association of quality with yield contributing traits may be helpful in future for the development of varieties.

Key words: Cotton, qualitative traits, fiber quality, correlation

INTRODUCTION

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) is the main fibre and industrial crop of Pakistan (Ahmed *et al.*, 2008). For meeting the fiber demands of ever increasing world's population the major cotton producing countries have realized its importance. Similarly in Pakistan cotton research needs to be versatile and purpose oriented to develop more productive cotton cultivars that can adapt to various agro– ecological zones of the country (Salahuddin *et al.*, 2010). For such

¹ Adeel Ahmad, Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad; Jehanzeb Farooq (corresponding author: jehanzeb1763@hotmail.com), Cotton Research Institute, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad; Waqas Shafqat Chattha, Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad; Muhammad Naveed-Ul-Haq, Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.

a development plant breeder must know the association between yield components and fiber quality traits so that he may be able to develop high yielding cotton cultivars possessing better fiber quality to meet the needs of the farmer and textile industry. The association analysis provides a good index to predict the corresponding change which occurs in one character at the expanse of the proportionate change in the other. Meena *et al.* (2007) studied the stability and adaptation of cotton cultivars and found varied values for different agronomic, morphological and yield contributing traits.

In the last decade much progress has been made in evolving new cotton genotypes for high yield and better fibre quality. Like quantitative traits qualitative characters are also very important. The morphological markers like flower shape, boll colour, boll shape can also be used for the indication of yield and fibre quality. This will help to reduce the time and cost of the experiment. In the present research, the association of these morphological markers with yield and fibre quality traits was determined. Killi *et al.* (2005) reported that fibre fineness positively associated with seed cotton weight and negatively associated with a number of sympodial branches, number of mature bolls, plant height, fibre length and fibre strength. Ekinci *et al.* (2010) reported a positive correlation of number of sympodial branches. Feng *et al.* (2011) observed that fibre colour negatively correlated with lint percentage, fibre length, fibre elongation, fibre strength and fibre uniformity. The present studies will be helpful to draw useful conclusions regarding yield and quality traits.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

For the experiment, ten varieties were taken as parents. These parents were crossed during the winter of 2010-11 in Glasshouse for synthesizing their F_1 hybrids. These parents and their F_1 hybrids were sown in a field in the research area of the Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad in normal crop season. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with three replications. There was a single row for each of the parents and F_1 hybrids. Each row contained 10 plants. Row to row and plant to plant distance was 75cm and 30cm respectively.

The data were recorded for plant height, number of sympodial branches, number of monopodial branches, number of bolls per plant, boll weight, number of locules per boll, GOT %, fibre fineness, staple length, fibre strength, fibre uniformity, flower colour, flower shape, boll colour, boll shape.

Measurement of studied traits. When apical growth of the main stem had ceased, the final height of the five guarded plants was measured from the first cotyledonary node to apical bud in centimetres using measuring rod. The sympodial branches (direct fruit bearing branches) monopodia per plant (indirect fruiting branches) and bolls per plant were counted manually from five guarded plants from all three replications and then average was calculated. Similarly, number of locks per boll was counted from five guarded plants from each replication.

Boll shape was observed and recorded before the opening of bolls. Only two shapes were observed, ovate and round. In the collection of data and calculation of correlation, ovate shape was taken as 1 and round shape as 2. Boll color in cotton is the intensity of green color in bolls. For the estimation of the correlation, green color leaf was assigned as 1 and dark green as 2. The shape of the flower was observed and recorded when the blooming started. Flowers were of bell shape or tubular shape. In the collection of data and calculation of correlation, bell shaped flower was assigned as 1 and tubular shape as 2. Total seed cotton yield was weighed and ginned with a single roller electrical gin. The lint obtained from the sample was weighed. Ginning percentage was calculated by the following formula. The mean value for each genotype was calculated as follows:

 $LP (\%) = \frac{Weight of lint in a sample}{Weight of seed cotton in sample} \times 100$

Fiber characteristics, fibre length (SL), fiber fineness (FF), fiber strength (FS) and fiber uniformity (%) from the lint samples of the selected plants were also recorded by using Uster HVI-900 S.A., in the Department of Fiber Technology, University of Agriculture Faisalabad. The computerized instrument records fibre length (mm), fiber fineness (μ g/ inch) and fiber strength (g/tex) according to the international standards. The collected data subjected to statistical analysis following the method outlined by Steel *et al.* (1997) and correlation coefficient calculated by the formula as proposed by Dewey and Lu (1959) using the Minitab program of a computer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean performance of the parents and crosses is given in table -1. According to the correlation matrix, plant height had positive and significant correlation with sympodial and monopodial branches, bolls per plant, boll weight, fibre strength and dark green boll color and negative correlation with GOT%, fibre fineness, staple length and tubular flower shape Table-2. Akbar *et al.* (1994) reported positive association of plant height with bolls per plant while Killi *et al.* (2005) observed a positive association of plant height with sympodial branches, bolls per plant, fibre length and fiber strength which are in conformity to the present findings.

The sympodial branches had positive and significant association with monopodial branches, bolls per plant, GOT%, fibre strength and round boll shape while it had a negative association with fibre fineness, staple length and tubular flower shape. Similar positive association of sympodia per plant with bolls per plant, lint percentage and fibre fineness were reported by Killi *et al.* (2005). Number of monopodial branches per plant had positive and significant correlation with bolls per plant and negative association with tubular flower shape. Usherett *et al.* (1999) and Ekinci *et al.* (2010) reported that number of monopodial branches had a positive association with bolls per plant which are in accordance with the present results. Murthy (1999) and Gite *et al.* (2006) found positive association of number of monopodial branches with lint yield.

(m) (m) (m) (m) MNH-93 (m) (m) (m) SLS-1 138.20 17.87 0.80 24.60 35.29 4.47 28.20 SLS-1 123.93 14.00 0.87 24.60 35.29 4.47 28.20 TAL-10 122.93 14.00 0.87 26.80 4.03 4.05 34.63 24.93 27.03 Austin 99.20 14.40 0.33 25.40 3.64 4.93 27.03 Austin 99.20 14.40 0.33 25.40 3.64 4.93 27.03 Austin 123.51 16.20 0.60 28.40 3.40 3.57 4.17 26.97 Mustin 131.53 15.47 0.40 3.53 4.40 3.87 27.00 Mustin 131.53 19.71 18.87 0.40 3.452 4.13 26.97 Mustin 131.53 19.71 28.90 3.410 36.43	Genotype	Hd	SB	MB	NB	BW	N	GOT	FF	SL	FS	FU	SF	BC	BS
158.20 17.87 0.80 24.60 4.20 4.67 3.462 4.47 123.13 18.00 1.00 26.40 3.30 4.00 35.29 4.43 124.17 0.60 24.60 4.27 4.67 36.45 4.60 123.13 18.00 1.00 26.40 3.30 4.00 35.29 4.43 124.67 198.01 0.60 24.60 4.03 4.00 35.29 4.43 122.93 162.0 0.53 26.80 3.13 4.00 36.34 3.87 129.07 16.20 0.60 28.40 3.69 4.03 4.03 129.31 15.47 0.47 28.00 3.60 4.67 3.63 4.17 129.41 187.3 0.40 3.53 4.03 3.63 4.27 187.51 19.07 0.53 28.40 3.43 4.03 4.03 187.61 187.3 0.40 3.53 4.20 3.		(cm)				(g)		(%)	(µg/inch)	(mm)	(g/tex)				
123.13 18.00 1.00 26.40 3.30 4.00 55.29 4.43 122.93 24.07 0.66 24.60 4.27 4.67 36.45 4.60 122.93 24.07 0.66 24.60 4.23 34.52 4.13 148.67 19.80 0.87 26.80 3.13 4.07 36.4 387 148.67 16.20 0.60 28.40 3.63 4.67 3.67 4.17 122.93 16.21 16.20 0.66 28.40 3.63 4.67 3.87 122.67 16.20 0.66 28.40 3.63 4.67 3.87 122.67 16.20 0.66 28.40 3.63 4.67 3.75 137.33 142.13 18.67 0.73 28.80 3.3 3.453 4.75 maxMINH-93 115.13 19.07 0.55 28.70 3.453 4.75 maxCRIS-134 115.13 16.01 15.87 0.40	3	158.20	17.87	0.80	24.60	4.20	4.67	34.62	4.47	28.20	20.60	49.10	Bell	Dark Green	Round
122.93 24.07 0.60 24.60 4.27 4.67 36.45 4.60 148.67 19.80 0.87 26.80 4.03 4.03 3.63 4.93 148.67 19.80 0.87 26.80 3.13 4.00 36.44 3.87 129.93 16.20 0.53 26.80 3.13 4.00 36.44 3.87 122.67 16.20 0.60 28.40 3.60 4.67 3.57.2 4.17 137.33 15.47 0.47 28.00 3.60 4.67 3.57.2 4.17 137.33 15.47 0.47 28.00 3.60 4.07 3.87 137.33 15.13 19.07 0.53 3.80 4.57 3.45 137.33 15.13 19.07 0.53 3.80 3.50 4.13 14 137.33 19.07 0.53 3.80 3.50 4.13 14 116.13 17.13 10.0 3.50 3.45<		123.13	18.00	1.00	26.40	3.30	4.00	35.29	4.43	27.03	18.83	46.13	Bell	Green	Ovate
148.67 19.80 0.87 26.80 4.03 4.00 36.36 4.93 99.20 14.40 0.33 25.40 3.63 4.33 3.4.52 4.13 12.9.93 16.20 0.53 26.80 3.13 4.00 36.4 3.87 12.9.93 16.20 0.60 28.40 3.80 4.67 3.57 4.17 83.13 15.47 0.47 28.00 3.60 4.03 3.87 83.13 15.47 0.47 28.00 3.63 4.07 3.87 137.33 143.13 19.07 0.53 28.40 3.43 4.07 maxMNNH93 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.43 4.07 maxMNNH93 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 4.33 4.07 maxMNNH93 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 4.13 4.07 maxMNH163 115.13 10.01 25.3 28.20 4.13 4.13	0	122.93	24.07	0.60	24.60	4.27	4.67	36.45	4.60	27.43	18.47	48.00	Bell	Dark Green	Ovate
99.20 14.40 0.33 25.40 3.65 4.13 4.13 129.93 16.20 0.53 26.80 3.13 4.00 36.44 3.87 129.93 16.20 0.60 28.40 3.80 4.67 3.5.2 4.17 120.567 16.20 0.60 28.40 3.80 4.67 3.5.2 4.17 121.53 15.47 0.47 28.00 3.60 4.00 3.67 4.17 maxMNNH.93 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.20 4.33 4.07 maxMNH.93 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.23 4.03 3.7 maxMNH.93 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.43 4.07 maxMNH.143 114.11 18.33 0.60 25.40 3.43 4.23 maxMNH.163 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.45 4.23 maxML.161 116.13 17.13 0.60		148.67	19.80	0.87	26.80	4.03	4.00	36.36	4.93	27.13	19.73	45.97	Bell	Green	Round
129.93 16.20 0.53 26.80 3.13 4.00 3.87 122.67 16.20 0.60 28.40 3.80 4.67 3.5.22 4.17 122.67 16.20 0.60 28.40 3.80 4.67 3.5.22 4.17 137.33 14.33 0.40 30.20 3.90 4.67 3.5.20 4.17 maxMNNH-93 131.513 19.07 0.53 28.40 3.43 4.00 34.51 4.07 maxMNH-93 131.513 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.20 4.93 36.06 4.13 maxMNH-93 131.513 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.20 4.13 maxMNH-93 132.440 18.33 0.60 25.40 3.43 4.23 maxMH-61 116.13 17.13 0.71 25.40 3.45 4.43 maxMH-61 116.61 17.23 3.20 4.00 3.66 4.07 maxMH-61 116.61 17.23 <th></th> <th>99.20</th> <th>14.40</th> <th>0.33</th> <th>25.40</th> <th>3.63</th> <th>4.33</th> <th>34.52</th> <th>4.13</th> <th>28.93</th> <th>18.83</th> <th>46.43</th> <th>Tubular</th> <th>Dark Green</th> <th>Round</th>		99.20	14.40	0.33	25.40	3.63	4.33	34.52	4.13	28.93	18.83	46.43	Tubular	Dark Green	Round
I22.67 I6.20 0.60 28.40 3.80 4.67 3.5.22 4.17 ax I37.33 I5.47 0.47 28.00 3.60 4.00 34.38 4.07 ax I37.33 I4.33 0.40 30.20 3.90 4.67 34.99 4.17 maxMNH-93 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.40 3.43 4.00 36.51 4.63 maxMNH-93 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.20 4.33 4.07 maxMNH-93 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.21 4.03 4.33 maxMH-163 115.13 18.67 0.73 28.80 3.53 4.00 3.55 4.43 maxMH-163 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.37 4.00 3.65 4.43 maxMH-163 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.37 4.00 3.65 4.43 maxBraize 116.13 <td< th=""><th>34</th><th>129.93</th><th>16.20</th><th>0.53</th><th>26.80</th><th>-</th><th>4.00</th><th>36.44</th><th>3.87</th><th>26.97</th><th>18.13</th><th>46.20</th><th>Bell</th><th>Dark Green</th><th>Round</th></td<>	34	129.93	16.20	0.53	26.80	-	4.00	36.44	3.87	26.97	18.13	46.20	Bell	Dark Green	Round
83.13 15.47 0.47 28.00 3.66 4.00 34.38 4.07 ma 137.33 14.33 0.40 30.20 3.90 4.67 34.99 4.17 ma 131.53 20.53 0.60 28.40 3.43 4.00 36.15 4.63 max/NNH93 142.13 18.67 0.73 28.80 4.23 5.00 36.15 4.63 max/NH43 115.13 1907 0.53 28.20 3.20 4.13 4.03 max/NH43 115.13 1907 0.53 3.20 4.13 4.63 max/NH413 115.13 107 25.40 3.43 4.23 4.43 max/NH413 115.13 107 25.40 3.23 4.00 36.77 4.43 max/NH413 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.23 4.03 4.23 max/NH51 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.43 4.23 max/NH5		122.67	16.20	0.60	28.40	-		35.22	4.17	27.00	19.43	46.60	Bell	Green	Round
I37.33 I4.33 0.40 30.20 3.90 4.67 34.99 4.17 max.NINH-93 131.53 20.53 0.60 28.40 3.43 4.00 36.51 4.07 max.NINH-93 142.13 18.67 0.73 28.80 4.23 5.00 36.15 4.63 max.CRIS-134 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.20 4.13 4.03 max.CRIS-134 115.13 19.07 0.53 3.20 4.33 3.453 4.43 max.CRIS-14 118.33 0.60 25.40 3.73 4.00 36.59 4.43 max.LHe1 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.73 4.00 36.77 4.43 max.LHe1 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.73 4.00 36.77 4.43 max.LHe1 113.10 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.73 4.03 3.75 max.LHe1 113.10 12.27 0.60		83.13	15.47	0.47	28.00	3.60	4.00	34.38	4.07	26.73	18.20	46.60	Tubular	Green	Round
na 131.53 20.53 0.60 28.40 3.45 4.00 36.51 4.07 ma×MNH-93 142.13 18.67 0.73 28.80 4.23 5.00 36.15 4.63 ma×CRIS-134 115.13 1907 0.53 28.20 3.20 4.13 4.63 ma×CRIS-134 115.13 1907 0.53 28.20 3.23 4.00 36.59 4.13 ma×CH5-1 123.47 18.33 0.60 25.40 3.37 4.00 34.59 4.23 ma×L5-1 123.47 10813 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.37 4.00 36.57 4.43 ma×L5-1 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.37 4.00 36.77 4.47 ma×L5-1 135.70 14.91 3.53 4.00 36.77 4.43 ma×L5-1 135.40 14.97 3.50 4.00 36.77 4.47 ma×L5-1 135.40 14.90 3.50		137.33	14.33	0.40	30.20	3.90	4.67	34.99	4.17	25.03	19.37	45.47	Bell	Dark Green	Round
maxMrNH-93 142.13 18.67 0.73 28.80 4.23 5.00 36.15 4.63 maxCRIS-134 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.23 5.00 4.13 maxCRIS-134 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.20 4.33 4.63 maxBH-163 124.40 18.33 0.60 25.40 3.43 4.33 4.23 maxBraice 108.13 15.80 0.40 25.20 3.37 4.00 34.55 4.43 maxLH-61 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.37 4.00 34.55 4.43 maxLH-61 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.37 4.00 34.57 4.43 maxLH-61 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.50 4.00 36.67 4.43 maxLH-61 131.51 17.23 0.40 25.30 3.60 4.93 4.93 maxLH-33 313.3 14.91 <t< th=""><th>Karishma</th><th>131.53</th><th>20.53</th><th>0.60</th><th>28.40</th><th>-</th><th>1. C</th><th>36.51</th><th>4.07</th><th>24.97</th><th>19.63</th><th>44.53</th><th>Tubular</th><th>Green</th><th>Round</th></t<>	Karishma	131.53	20.53	0.60	28.40	-	1. C	36.51	4.07	24.97	19.63	44.53	Tubular	Green	Round
maxCRIS-134 115.13 19.07 0.53 28.20 3.20 4.13 4.13 maxBH-163 124.40 18.33 0.60 25.40 3.43 4.33 4.23 maxBH-163 124.40 18.33 0.60 25.40 3.43 4.23 4.23 maxBH-163 124.40 18.33 0.60 25.40 3.37 4.00 34.56 4.43 maxSLS-1 123.47 20.93 1.07 25.40 3.37 4.00 34.55 4.43 maxSLS-1 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.37 4.00 34.57 4.43 maxBraize 105.07 12.27 0.60 25.40 3.77 4.00 36.77 4.47 maxBraize 133.73 21.47 0.40 25.80 3.60 4.03 3.67 4.47 maxBraize 133.73 21.47 0.40 25.80 3.66 4.07 3.7 MaxBraize 137.33 194.0 <td< th=""><th>Karishma×MNH-93</th><th>142.13</th><th>18.67</th><th>0.73</th><th>28.80</th><th></th><th></th><th>36.15</th><th>4.63</th><th>24.63</th><th>19.40</th><th>43.63</th><th>Bell</th><th>Dark Green</th><th>Round</th></td<>	Karishma×MNH-93	142.13	18.67	0.73	28.80			36.15	4.63	24.63	19.40	43.63	Bell	Dark Green	Round
maxBH-163 124.40 18.33 0.60 25.40 3.43 4.33 4.23 maxAustin 108.13 15.80 0.40 25.20 3.37 4.00 34.59 4.23 maxSLS-1 123.47 20.93 1.07 25.40 3.37 4.00 34.55 4.43 maxSLS-1 123.47 20.93 1.07 25.40 3.37 4.00 36.59 4.57 maxVH-61 116.13 17.13 0.60 25.40 3.27 4.00 36.57 4.43 maxPhaize 105.07 12.27 0.60 25.40 3.57 4.00 36.77 4.47 maxPhaize 105.07 12.27 0.60 25.40 3.57 4.07 36.77 4.47 maxPhaize 133.73 19.40 0.47 31.20 3.60 4.07 3.77 maxPhaize 133.73 19.40 0.47 31.20 3.60 4.07 3.77 thd 117.87 23.40<	Karishma×CRIS-134	115.13	19.07	0.53	28.20	-	-	36.20	4.13	25.70	19.63	48.30	Bell	Dark Green	Round
maxAustin 108.13 15.80 0.40 25.20 3.37 4.00 34.69 4.23 maxSLS-1 123.47 20.93 1.07 25.40 3.30 4.00 34.35 4.43 maxVH-61 116.13 17.13 0.60 26.40 3.37 4.00 36.59 4.57 maxVH-61 116.13 17.13 0.60 26.40 3.37 4.00 36.55 4.43 maxVH-61 116.13 17.13 0.60 26.40 3.37 4.00 36.57 4.43 maxVH-61 134.93 0.87 30.80 3.60 4.00 36.77 4.47 MaxPhaize 137.33 194.0 0.47 31.20 35.66 4.07 fef3 137.33 194.0 36.36 4.00 36.66 4.07 fef3 137.33 194.0 0.53 30.80 3.60 4.07 3.77 fef3 117.87 234.0 0.53 3.63 4.03	Karishma×BH-163	124.40	18.33	0.60	25.40	3.43	4.33	34.38	4.23	26.23	19.60	46.73	Bell	Dark Green	Round
maxSLS-1 123.47 20.93 1.07 25.40 3.30 4.00 34.35 4.43 maxVH-61 116.13 17.13 0.60 26.40 3.37 4.00 36.59 4.57 maxVH-61 116.13 17.13 0.60 26.40 3.37 4.00 36.59 4.57 maxBraize 105.07 12.27 0.60 25.40 3.27 4.00 36.67 4.47 ref 133.73 2147 0.40 26.80 3.63 5.00 36.67 4.47 f.61 137.33 139.40 14.93 0.87 30.80 36.66 4.07 36.77 4.47 f.63 137.33 19.40 0.47 31.20 3.50 4.07 36.77 4.47 stim 117.87 23.40 0.53 3.03 3.50 4.07 36.77 4.47 stim 117.87 23.40 0.53 3.60 4.00 3.75 4.43 3.75	Karishma×Austin	108.13	15.80	0.40	25.20	3.37	4.00	34.69	4.23	26.50	17.97	45.80	Tubular	Dark Green	Round
maxVH-61 116.13 17.13 0.60 26.40 3.37 4.00 36.59 4.57 maxBraize 105.07 12.27 0.60 25.40 3.27 4.00 34.58 4.27 KH-93 133.73 21.47 0.40 26.80 3.65 5.00 38.67 4.47 KH-93 133.73 21.47 0.40 26.80 3.65 5.00 38.67 4.47 KH-93 137.33 19.40 0.47 31.20 3.50 4.00 36.66 4.07 K-163 137.33 19.40 0.53 30.80 3.50 4.00 3.677 4.47 S-1 145.37 23.40 0.53 30.80 3.50 4.00 3.677 4.47 S-1 145.27 20.07 0.60 25.40 3.50 4.07 3.97 S-1 145.27 20.71 0.53 27.40 3.57 4.47 3.97 S-1 125.07 28.80	Karishma×SLS-1	123.47	20.93	1.07	25.40	3.30	4.00	34.35	4.43	26.93	20.33	47.20	Bell	Green	Round
maxBraize 105.07 12.27 0.60 25.40 3.27 4.00 34.58 4.27 KH-93 133.73 21.47 0.40 26.80 3.65 5.00 38.67 4.30 Fol 134.00 14.93 0.87 30.80 3.66 4.00 36.77 4.47 Fol 134.00 14.93 0.87 30.80 3.60 4.00 36.67 4.47 Fol 137.33 1940 0.47 31.20 3.50 4.00 36.66 4.07 stin 117.87 23.40 0.53 30.80 3.50 4.00 3.57 4.47 stin 145.27 20.07 0.60 28.40 3.43 4.47 stin 125.67 23.41 3.57 4.67 3.67 4.40 stin 125.67 23.41 3.57 4.67 3.67 4.47 stin 125.61 0.53 27.40 3.57 4.47 3.67 <	Karishma×VH-61	116.13	17.13	0.60	26.40			36.59	4.57	24.97	20.63	45.67	Bell	Green	Round
(H-93) 133.73 21.47 0.40 26.80 3.63 5.00 38.67 4.30 Fol 134.00 14.93 0.87 30.80 3.60 4.00 36.77 4.47 Fol 137.33 1940 0.47 31.20 3.50 4.00 36.66 4.07 stim 117.87 23.40 0.53 30.80 3.50 4.00 34.37 4.37 stim 117.87 23.40 0.53 30.80 3.50 4.00 34.73 4.47 stim 117.87 23.40 0.53 30.80 3.50 4.40 stim 117.87 23.40 0.53 4.37 4.37 stim 117.87 0.53 27.40 3.53 4.47 stim 125.77 23.23 27.40 3.53 4.40 stim 125.53 29.93 28.40 3.63 4.10	Karishma×Braize	105.07		0.60	25.40	16	-	34.58	4.27	26.90	18.77	44.93	Tubular	Green	Round
L61134.0014.930.8730.803.604.00 36.77 4.47 -163 137.3319.400.4731.203.504.00 36.66 4.07 stin117.8723.400.5330.803.504.00 34.37 4.37 S-1145.2720.070.6028.403.43 4.37 4.37 S-1145.2720.070.6028.403.43 4.37 4.37 S-1125.0723.470.5327.403.50 4.00 3.57 4.40 aize125.0723.470.5327.403.50 4.00 3.57 4.40 aize125.0722.200.7328.403.50 4.00 3.57 4.40 aize108.9318.930.6728.803.57 4.60 3.97 4.90 ai108.9318.930.6728.803.57 4.00 3.66 4.03 ai108.9318.930.6728.803.57 4.00 3.97 4.90 ai108.9318.930.6728.803.57 4.00 3.66 4.50 ai108.9318.930.6729.203.40 4.00 3.59 4.90 ai108.9318.970.6023.403.60 4.00 3.59 4.50 ai127.4716.800.6023.403.403.66 4.50 ai127.4716.800.60	34×MINH-93	133.73	21.47	0.40	26.80		_	38.67	4.30	25.43	17.67	44.57	Bell	Dark Green	Round
L163137.3319.40 0.47 31.20 3.50 4.00 36.66 4.07 stin117.8723.400.5330.803.50 4.00 34.37 4.37 S-1145.2720.070.6028.403.43 4.37 4.37 S-1145.2720.070.6028.403.43 4.37 4.37 S-1145.2720070.6028.403.43 4.37 4.37 S-1125.0723.470.5327.403.50 4.00 3.57 4.40 Ji160.6722.200.8727.403.57 4.67 3.97 4.00 J160.6722.200.7328.403.57 4.33 3.57 4.10 J160.6722.200.7328.803.57 4.33 3.97 4.00 J160.6722.200.7328.803.57 4.33 3.97 4.00 J160.6722.200.7328.803.57 4.33 3.97 4.03 J146.5319200.6729.403.66 4.03 4.60 e127.4716.800.6023.403.60 4.00 3.59 4.50 I146.7319200.6729.403.60 4.00 3.59 4.50 e127.4716.800.6023.403.70 4.00 3.59 4.60 e123.470.6029.403.604.00	34×VH-61	134.00	14.93	0.87	30.80	-		36.77	4.47	25.47	20.83	47.23	Bell	Dark Green	Round
stin 117.87 23.40 0.53 30.80 3.50 4.00 34.37 4.37 S-I 145.27 2007 0.60 28.40 3.43 4.37 4.37 aize 125.07 2007 0.60 28.40 3.50 4.00 34.37 4.47 aize 125.07 23.47 0.53 27.40 3.50 4.00 37.50 4.40 100.67 23.47 0.53 27.40 3.57 4.67 3.97 1 160.67 22.20 0.73 28.40 3.40 4.00 37.50 4.100 1 160.67 22.20 0.71 28.80 3.57 4.30 3.97 1 160.67 22.20 0.71 28.80 3.57 4.00 3.76 4.100 1 140.7 0.57 24.31 4.00 $3.5.96$ 4.00 $3.5.96$ 4.50	34×BH-163	137.33	19.40	0.47	31.20	3.50	4.00	36.66	4.07	25.70	19.77	46.10	Bell	Dark Green	Round
S-1 145.27 20.07 0.60 28.40 3.43 4.83 4.47 aize 125.07 23.47 0.53 27.40 3.50 4.00 3.422 4.40 9.3 1225.37 20.93 0.87 27.40 3.57 4.67 3.57 4.40 10 160.67 22.20 0.73 28.40 3.57 4.67 3.57 4.40 11 160.67 22.20 0.73 28.40 3.57 4.33 3.57 4.40 1060.67 22.20 0.73 28.40 3.40 4.00 3.75 4.13 11 160.67 22.20 0.74 23.76 4.03 3.76 4.03 11 146.7 0.67 29.20 3.40 4.00 3.50 4.50 11 146.7 0.60 23.40 3.06 4.00 3.50 4.50 127.47	34×Austin	117.87	23.40	0.53	30.80	-	4.00	34.37	4.37	26.43	17.77	43.37	Bell	Dark Green	Round
aize 125.07 23.47 0.53 27.40 3.50 4.00 34.22 4.40 -93 122.53 20.93 0.87 27.40 3.57 4.67 3.57 4.40 -1 160.67 22.20 0.73 28.40 3.40 4.00 37.50 4.00 n 108.93 18.93 0.67 28.80 3.57 4.33 35.29 4.13 n 108.93 192.0 0.67 28.80 3.57 4.33 35.29 4.13 n 146.53 192.0 0.67 28.80 3.57 4.30 4.00 n 146.53 192.0 0.67 28.30 $3.5.66$ 4.50 n 127.47 17.87 0.60 23.40 3.00 34.56 4.50 n 127.47 16.00 0.67 23.40 3.00 34.56 4.53 n 12	34×SLS-1	145.27	20.07	0.60	28.40	-	-	34.83	4.47	26.70	19.23	45.17	Bell	Dark Green	Round
(-93 122.53 20.93 0.87 27.40 3.57 4.67 3.6.82 3.97 1 160.67 22.20 0.73 28.40 3.40 4.00 37.50 4.00 1 108.93 18.93 0.67 28.80 3.57 4.33 35.29 4.13 1 146.53 1920 0.67 29.20 3.40 4.00 37.68 4.50 e 127.47 17.87 0.60 23.40 4.00 37.68 4.50 154.27 16.00 0.67 29.20 3.40 4.00 37.68 4.50 127.47 17.87 0.60 23.40 3.40 4.00 37.68 4.50 154.27 16.00 0.67 29.40 3.40 4.03 36.67 4.63 123.47 16.00 0.87 24.80 3.37 4.00 34.56 4.63 123.47 16.00 0.87 24.20 34.36 4.63 4.53	34×Braize	125.07		0.53				34.22	4.40	24.70	19.60	46.83	Tubular	Dark Green	Round
1 160.67 22.20 0.73 28.40 3.40 4.00 37.50 4.00 m 108.93 18.93 0.67 28.80 3.57 4.33 35.29 4.13 1 146.53 1920 0.67 29.20 3.40 4.00 37.68 4.50 e 127.47 17.87 0.60 23.40 3.00 35.66 4.50 e 127.47 17.87 0.60 23.40 3.00 35.66 4.50 127.47 17.87 0.60 25.40 3.40 4.00 36.67 4.63 123.47 16.00 0.87 24.80 3.37 4.00 34.56 4.63 123.47 16.00 0.87 22.20 3.60 4.00 34.26 4.53 128.93 14.07 0.53 22.20 3.60 4.00 34.26 4.53	×MNH-93	122.53	20.93	0.87	27.40	-		36.82	3.97	26.53	17.43	46.73	Bell	Dark Green	Round
m 108.93 18.93 0.67 28.80 3.57 4.33 35.29 4.13 1 146.53 1920 0.67 29.20 3.40 4.00 37.68 4.50 e 127.47 17.87 0.60 23.40 3.00 35.96 4.50 154.27 16.80 0.60 25.40 3.00 35.96 4.50 154.27 16.80 0.60 25.40 3.40 4.00 36.67 4.63 123.47 16.00 0.87 24.80 3.37 4.00 34.59 4.60 123.47 16.00 0.87 22.20 3.60 4.00 34.56 4.50 128.93 14.07 0.53 22.20 3.60 4.00 34.56 4.50	× SLS-1	160.67	22.20	0.73	28.40	3.40	4.00	37.50	4.00	28.07	19.30	46.70	Bell	Green	Round
1 146.53 19.20 0.67 29.20 3.40 4.00 37.68 4.50 e 127.47 17.87 0.60 23.40 3.60 4.00 35.96 4.50 154.27 16.80 0.60 25.40 3.40 4.00 36.67 4.63 123.47 16.80 0.60 25.40 3.40 4.00 36.67 4.63 123.47 16.00 0.87 24.80 3.37 4.00 34.39 4.60 123.47 16.00 0.87 24.80 3.37 4.00 34.39 4.60 123.47 14.07 0.53 22.20 3.60 4.00 34.56 4.53	×Austin	108.93	18.93	0.67	28.80	3.57	4.33	35.29	4.13	26.60	18.80	44.13	Tubular	Dark Green	Round
e 127.47 17.87 0.60 23.40 3.60 4.00 35.96 4.50 154.27 16.80 0.60 25.40 3.40 4.00 36.67 4.63 123.47 16.80 0.60 25.40 3.70 4.00 36.67 4.63 123.47 16.00 0.87 24.80 3.37 4.00 34.39 4.60 123.47 16.00 0.87 24.80 3.37 4.00 34.39 4.60 128.93 14.07 0.53 22.20 3.60 4.00 34.26 4.53	×VH-61	146.53	19.20	0.67	29.20	3.40	4.00	37.68	4.50	25.00	18.80	52.60	Bell	Dark Green	Round
154.27 16.80 0.66 25.40 3.40 4.00 36.67 4.63 123.47 16.00 0.87 24.80 3.37 4.00 34.39 4.60 123.47 16.00 0.87 24.80 3.37 4.00 34.39 4.60 128.93 14.07 0.53 22.20 3.60 4.00 34.26 4.53	×Braize	127.47	17.87	0.60	23.40		-	35.96	4.50	24.20	18.57	42.50	Tubular	Dark Green	Round
123.47 16.00 0.87 24.80 3.37 4.00 34.39 4.60 128.93 14.07 0.53 22.20 3.60 4.00 34.26 4.53	VH-61	154.27	16.80	0.60	25.40			36.67	4.63	26.00	17.83	46.90	Bell	Green	Round
128.93 14.07 0.53 22.20 3.60 4.00 34.26 4.53	Austin	123.47	16.00	0.87	24.80	-	_	34.39	4.60	24.90	17.27	46.03	Tubular	Dark Green	Round
	Braize	128.93	14.07	0.53	22.20	3.60	_	34.26	4.53	24.43	17.73	45.77	Tubular	Green	Round
Austin×VH-61 131.93 19.87 0.67 28.40 3.50 4.00 37.36 4.53 27.40	19-HA	131.93	19.87	0.67	28.40	_		37.36	4.53	27.40	19.20	44.40	Tubular	Dark Green	Round

Table 1. Means performance of the parents/crosses for various morphological and quality traits

List of traits along with abbreviations used: Plant height (PH, cm), No. of sympodial branches (SB), No. of monopodial branches (MB), No of bolls per plant (NB), Boll weight (BW, g), No of locules per boll (NL), GOT%, Fiber fineness (FF, μ g/inch), Staple length (SL, mm), Fiber Strength (FS, g/tex), Fibre uniformity% (FU), Shape of flower (SF), Boll color (BC) and Boll shape (BS).

SF BC	htr		uti	ng	tra		3					-0.33**	××/ / ××/ /
FU (%)											-0.12**	0.04 NS	O O I NC
FS (g/tex)										*60.0	-0.12**	*60.0	××C - C
SL (mm)									-0.06 NS	-0.01 NS	0.15**	-0.05 NS	N NON NG
FF (μg/inch) SL (mm) FS (g/tex)								-0.23**	0.08 NS	0.12**	*60.0	-0.04 NS	*****
GOT (%)							-0.15**	-0.07 NS	0.04 NS	-0.03 NS	-0.26**	0.08 NS	O DE NG
NL						0.10*	-0.12**	0.07 NS	0.06 NS	0.20**	-0.12**	0.22**	O N NC
BW (g)					0.34**	0.05 NS	-0.012 ^{NS}	0.30**	0.08 NS	0.18**	-0.13**	0.17**	****
NB				-0.06 NS	0.05 NS	0.12**	0.02 NS	-0.07 NS	0.06 NS	0.15**	-0.16**	0.20**	SN LO U
MB			0.15**	0.04 NS	0.03 NS	0.06 NS	0.06 NS	0.02 NS	0.08 NS	-0.07 NS	+60.0-	0.08 NS	O A NC
SB		0.48**	0.30**	-0.05 NS	-0.06 NS	*60.0	0.37**	-0.23**	0.12**	0.08 NS	-0.12**	-0.07 NS	**000
PH (cm)	0.26**	0.14**	0.33**	0.12**	0.05 ^{NS}	-0.12**	0.19**	+60.0-	0.19**	0.08 NS	-0.23**	0.29**	DIA CO O
	SB	MB	NB	BW(g)	NL	GOT(%)	FF(µg/inch)	SL(mm)	FS (g/tex)	FU(%)	SF	BC	54

Table 2. Correlation matrix of different quality and yield contributing traits

However, Rauf et al. (2004) found positive association of monopodia per plant with plant height and boll weight while with sympodia and bolls per plant they found negative correlations. Iqbal et al. (2006) revealed positive association of monopodial branches with sympodial branches, bolls per plant and lint yield. Number of bolls per plant had a positive and significant association with GOT%, fibre uniformity and dark green boll color while it had a negative association with tubular flower shape. Gite et al. (2006) and Salahuddin et al. (2010) determined positive correlation of bolls per plant with lint yield. Thiyagu et al. (2010) observed negative association of bolls per plant with boll weight which is in agreement with the current findings, but with GOT% they observed contrasting results.

Boll weight had positive correlation with number of locules per boll, staple length, fibre uniformity, dark green boll color and round boll shape while it showed negative correlation with tubular flower shape. Khan et al. (1980) and Rasheed et al. (2009) reported positive association between boll weight and fibre length. Iqbal et al. (2006) determined correlation among different traits in cotton and found that boll weight negatively correlated with number of bolls per plant which is in support of present results. But Rasheed et al. (2009) observed positive correlation of boll weight with a number of bolls per plant. A number of locules had positive correlation with GOT%, fibre uniformity, fibre fineness and dark green boll color while it had negative correlation with tubular flower shape.

It suggests that the plant with bolls having more number of locules will have more GOT%, fibre uniformity and fibre fineness. Singh et al. (1968) observed positive association of number of locules per boll with yield in cotton. GOT% had a significant positive association with fibre fineness and negative association with tubular flower shape. It suggests that increase in GOT% will also increase the fibre fineness. Hussain et al. (2010) determined correlation among various traits and found negative association with of GOT% with fibre fineness which are contradictory to the present results. Ahmad and Azhar (2000) also reported positive association of GOT% with bolls per plant, boll weight and staple length which are also in disagreement to the present results. The fibre fineness had positive correlation with staple length and negative correlation with fibre uniformity, tubular flower shape and ovate boll shape. It suggests that an increase in fibre fineness will also increase the staple length but decrease the fibre uniformity. Desalegn et al. (2009) determined positive association with staple length and negative association with fibre uniformity. Karademir et al. (2010) observed negative association of fibre fineness with fibre uniformity. However, Killi et al. (2005) studied correlation and found negative association of fiber strength with sympodial branches, number of mature bolls, plant height, fibre length and fibre strength. The difference may be due to the variation in cultivars.

Staple length positively correlated with bell flower shape but negatively correlated with fiber strength and uniformity. Azhar *et al.* (2004) observed negative association of staple length with fibre fineness. However, Larik *et al.* (1999) and Karademir *et al.* (2010) concluded that staple length had positive correlation with fibre strength. Fibre strength had a positive association with fibre uniformity and dark green boll color and negative association with tubular flower shape and ovate boll shape. It suggests that the fibre having more strength may increase fibre uniformity. Killi *et al.* (2005) and Karademir *et al.* (2010) reported that fibre strength positively associated with plant height, and fibre uniformity. However, Desalegn *et al.* (2009) observed negative association of fiber strength with fibre uniformity. Tubular flower shape showed a negative association with green boll color and ovate boll shape. Green boll color had negative correlation with ovate boll shape. The qualitative traits like flower shape, boll shape and boll color also act as markers for selection of polygenic traits like fibre quality and boll characteristics.

CONCLUSIONS

It is concluded from the above discussion that the bell shaped flower is the indicator of increased number of bolls per plant, boll weight, fibre with more strength, length and uniformity. The tubular flower shape indicates fine fibre. Dark green boll color indicates the number of bolls, increased boll weight and more fibre strength. Ovate boll shape indicates less fine fibre. Round boll shape is the marker of increased boll weight but less fibre strength. These studies may be helpful in designing varietal development programme as various desirable

significant correlations and conclusions obtained from the current studies which may be exploited to develop new strains. Random matting among genotypes could be a good technique to break negative association among genes.

REFERENCES

- Afiah, S.A.N. & Ghoneim E. M. (2000): Correlation, stepwise and path coefficient analysis in Egyptian cotton under saline conditions. *Arab Universities Journal of Agricultural Sciences.*, 8: 607-618.
- Ahmad, M. & Azhar F. M. (2000): Genetic correlation and path coefficient analysis of oil and protein contents and other quantitative characters in F₂ generation of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences.*, 3: 1049-1051.
- Ahmad, W. Khan N.U. Khalil M.R. Parveen A. Aimen U. Saeed M. Samiullah. & Shah S.A. (2008): Genetic variability and correlation analysis in upland cotton. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture.*, 24(4): 573-580.
- Akbar, M. Ahmad J. & Azhar F.M. (1994): Genetic correlation, path coefficient and heritability estimates of some important plant traits in upland cotton. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences.*, 31: 47-50.
- Azhar, F. M. Naveed M. & Ali A. (2004): Correlation analysis of seed cotton yield with fibre characteristics in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology.*, 6: 656-658.
- Clement, J.D. Constable G.A. Stiller W.N. & Liu S.M. (2012): Negative associations still exist between yield and fibre quality in cotton breeding programs in Australia and USA. *Field Crops Research.*, 128: 1-7.
- Culp, T.W. & Harrell D.C. (1975): Influence of lint percentage, boll size, and seed size on lint yield of upland cotton with high fibre strength. *Crop Science.*, 15: 741-746.
- Desalegn, Z. Ratanadilok N. & Kaveeta R. (2009): Correlation and heritability for yield and fibre quality parameters of Ethiopian cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) estimated from 15 (diallel) crosses. *Kasetsart Journal Natural science.*, 43: 1-11.
- Dewey, R.D. & Lu K.H. (1959): A correlation and phenotypic correlation analysis of some quality characters and yield of seed cotton in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Journal of Biological Science.*, 1: 235-236.
- Dunlavy, H. (1923): Correlation of characters in Texas cotton. Journal of Amerrican Society of Agronomy., 15: 144-148.
- Ganesan, K.N. & Raveendran T.S. (2007): Enhancing the breedingvalue of genotype through genetic selection in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Bulletin of the Institute of Tropical Agriculture Kyushu University. 30:1-10.
- Gite, V.K. Misal M.B. & Kalpande H.V. (2006): Correlation and path analysis in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development., 20: 215-218.

- Hussain, A. Azhar F. M. Ali M.A. Ahmad S. & Mahmood K. (2010): Genetic studies of fibre quality characters in upland cotton. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences.*, 20: 238-238.
- Iqbal, M. Hayat K. Khan R.S.A. Sadiq A. and Noor-ul-Islam. (2006): Correlation and path coefficient analysis for earliness and yield traits in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum L.*). Asian Journal of Plant Sciences., 5: 341-344.
- Karademir, E. Karademir C. Ekinci R. Gencer O. (2010): Relationship between Yield, Fibre Length and other Fibre-Related Traits in Advanced Cotton Strains. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca.*, 38: 111-116.
- Killi, F. Efe L. & Mustafayev S. (2005): Genetic and environmental variability in yield, yield components and lint quality traits of cotton. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology.*, 7:1007-1010.
- Kll, F. 1995. Path coefficient analysis and correlations for cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) and some components of yield in the East Mediterranean and SAP (southeastern Anatolian project) regions. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestery.*, 19: 379-382.
- Larik, A.S. Kakar A.A. Naz M.A. & Shaikh M.A. (1999): Character correlations and path analysis in seed cotton yield (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Sarhad Journal of Agriculture., 15: 269-274.
- Makhdoom, K. Khan N.U. Batool S. Bibi Z. Farhatullah. Khan S. Mohammad F. Hussain D. Raziuddin. Sajjad M. & Khan N. (2010): Genetic aptitude and correlation studies in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. *Pakistan Journal of Botany.*, 42: 2011-2017.
- Nachnani, G.H. & Abro H.K. (1980): Correlation studier of yield with certain physical characters in F₃ generations of crosses of upland cottons. *The Pakistan Cottons.*, 24: 119-122.
- Ping, L.J. Jun M.Y. Li Z.L. Lin H.S. Feng G.W. & Ci X.R. (2005): Analysis of heredity and correlation between boll traits and fibre quality traits in "0" plant type Island cotton. ACTA Agronomica Sinica., 31: 1069-1073.
- Rasheed, A. Malik W. Khan A.A. Murtaza N. Qayyum A. & Noor E. (2009): Genetic evaluation of fibre yield and yield components in fifteen cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) genotypes. *International Journal of Agriculture* and Biology., 11: 581-585.
- Rauf, S. Khan T.M. Sadaqat H.A. & Khan A.I. (2004): Correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of yield in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology.*, 6: 686-688.
- Salahuddin, S. Abro S. Kandhro M.M. Salahuddin L. & Laghari S. (2010): Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield components of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). World Applied Science Journal., 8: 71-75.
- Scholl, R.L. & Miller P.A. (1976): Genetic association between yield and fibre strength in upland cotton. *Crop Science.*, 16: 780-783.
- Steel, R.G.D. Torrie J.H. & Dickey D.A. (1997): Principles and procedures of statistics. A Biometrical Approach. Singapore: 3rd Ed. McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc.

Adeel AHMAD, Jehanzeb FAROOQ, Waqas Shafqat CHATTHA, Muhammad NAVEED-UL-HAQ

VEZA IZMEĐU KVALITATIVNIH OSOBINA I OSOBINA KOJE DOPRINOSE PRINOSU KOD KOMERCIJALNOG AMERIČKOG PAMUKA

SAŽETAK

Izvršena je analiza korelacije između kvalitativnih osobina, kao što su oblik cvijeta, boja i oblik čaure, sa osobinama prinosa i kvaliteta vlakna. Ukršteno je deset sorti. Matične sorte su, zajedno sa hibridima, posijani na poljima u okviru posmatranog područja Katedre za oplemenjivanje bilja i genetiku, Poljoprivrednog fakulteta, Faisalabad. Istraživanja su pokazala da cjevasti oblik cvijeta ima negativnu povezanost sa zelenom bojom čaure i ovalnim oblikom čaure. Zelena boja čaure ima negativnu korelaciju sa ovalnim oblikom čaure. Kvalitativne osobine kao što su oblik cvijeta, oblik čaure i boja čaure, takođe imaju ulogu markera u odabiru poligenih osobina, kao što su kvalitet vlakna i karakteristike čaure. Tamno-zelena boja čaure pokazuje pozitivnu povezanost sa visinom biljke, brojem čaura na biljci, težinom čaure, brojem lokula i jačinom vlakna. Zelena boja čaure ima negativnu korelaciju sa cjevastim oblikom cvijeta. Okrugli oblik čaure ima pozitivnu korelaciju sa brojem simpodijalnih grana i težinom čaure. Ovalni oblik čaure ima negativnu povezanost sa finoćom vlakna i jačinom vlakna. Cjevasti oblik cvijeta ima negativnu povezanost sa visinom biljke, brojem simpodijalnih grana, brojem monopodijalnih grana, brojem čaura po biljci, težinom čaure, brojem lokula, GOT%, finoćom vlakna i jačinom vlakna. Zvonasti oblik cvijeta ima pozitivnu povezanost sa dužinom prediva. Povezanost između osobina koje doprinose prinosu može biti od koristi za razvoj sorti u budućnosti.

Ključne riječi: pamuk, kvalitativne osobine, kvalitet vlakna, korelacija